

Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 01152 01 OF 02 032032Z
ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 IO-13 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-04 INR-07 L-03 ACDA-07
NSAE-00 PA-01 SS-15 PRS-01 SP-02 USIA-06 TRSE-00
SAJ-01 DODE-00 H-01 NSC-05 EB-08 COME-00 FRB-03 CU-02
OIC-02 BIB-01 /095 W
-----032115Z 009268 /73

R 021700Z MAR 77
FM USMISSION NATO
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 1971
INFO ALL NATO CAPITALS 6868
AMEMBASSY MOSCOW
AMEMBASSY PRAGUE
AMEMBASSY WARSAW
AMEMBASSY BERLIN
AMEMBASSY BUCHAREST
AMEMBASSY BUDAPEST
AMEMBASSY SOFIA
USMISSION GENEVA
USMISSION EC BRUSSELS
USMISSION BERLIN
AMEMBASSY BELGRADE
USMISSION OECD PARIS

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 1 OF 2 USNATO 1152

EO11652 GDS
TAGS EGEN CSCE NATO
SUBJECT ECONADS: CSCE BASKET II

REF A. STATE 13664 (NOTAL B. USNATO 1118 (NOTAL
C. USNATO 1000 NOTAL

SUMMARY: MARCH 3 ECONADS DISCUSSED PREPARATIONS FOR MARCH 21
EXPERTS MEETING ON CSCE BASKET II, RECEIVING
FURTHER CANADIAN COMMENTS ON PREPARATIONS FOR BELGRADE REVIEW
MEETINGS. UK WAS GENERALLY AGREEABLE TO US FOLLWO-UP PROPOSALS
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NATO 01152 01 OF 02 032032Z

(EXCEPT INCREASED VISA LIBERALITY FOR BLOC BUSINESS REPS) BUT
WAS UNABLE AS YET TO PROVIDE DETAILS OF EC-9 CONSULTATIONS ON
BREZHNEV PROPOSAL. SEVERAL MEMBERS ANNOUNCED
REPRESENTATION AT EXPERT SESSION. END SUMMARY.

1. AT MARCH 3 ECONADS MEETING CANADIAN REP (MCNAB)
CIRCULATED PORTIONS OF A TELEGRAM HE HAD JUST RECEIVED FROM
OTTAWA (QUOTED IN PARA 5 BELOW) WHICH EMPHASIZES
CANADIAN DESIRE TO STRESS IMPLEMENTATION OF FINAL ACT
PROVISIONS. HE ALSO EXPRESSED DISQUIET THAT OTHER ALLIES

MIGHT BE SOFTENING THEIR ATTITUDES TOWARDS ACCEPTABILITY OF BREZHNEV PROPOSALS, AND DIRECTED A SPECIFIC QUERY TO NORWEGIAN REP IN CONTEXT OF NORWEGIAN AIR POLLUTION INITIATIVE AT MEETING OF ECE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY ADVISORS. NORWEGIAN REP (BULL) SAID HE WAS UNINFORMED, BUT HOPED TO CIRCULATE CURRENT NORWEGIAN VIEWS SOON.

2. DUTCH REP (GEVERS) REPEATED INFORMATION PREVIOUSLY SURFACED IN POLADS (REF C) THAT DUTCH AMBASSADOR IN MOSCOW HAD BEEN INFORMED THAT BREZHNEV'S PROPOSALS WOULD NOT BE PRESSED IN BELGRADE SINCE THEY WERE WITHIN THE COMPETENCE OF ECE.

3. UK REP (HULSE) REGRETED THAT EC COUNCIL HAD NOT AS YET APPROVED THEIR CSCE EXPERTS' PAPERS ON ANALYSIS OF BREZHNEV PROPOSALS AND ON TREATMENT OF THEM AT ECE 32ND ANNUAL SESSION. PAPERS ARE TO COME BEFORE THE EUROPEAN COUNCIL MARCH 8 AND HE HOPED TO BE ABLE TO CIRCULATE THEM PROMPTLY HEREAFTER. HE HAD ALSO BEEN TOLD INFORMALLY BY FCO THAT THEY HAD NO DIFFICULTY WITH US PROPOSALS IN REF A, EXCEPT THAT OF DEALING WITH MORE LIBERAL VISA TREATMENT OF BLOC BUSINESSMEN.

4. CHAIRMAN(S EFFORTS TO IDENTIFY PARTICIPATION IN MARCH 21 EXPERT MEETING ON BASKET II WAS ONLY PARTIALLY SUCCESSFUL. ONLY UK (REEVE, FCO), GERMAN (MOHRMAN, MINISTRY FOR ECONOMIC AFFAIRS AND VAN GROLL, MFA) AND U.S. (MARESCA, SWARTZ, KOVACH AND HEISS, PER STATE 46534 NOTAL) NAMED THEIR EXPERTS.
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 NATO 01152 01 OF 02 032032Z

PORTUGUESE REP HAD PREVIOUSLY CIRCULATED LETTER NAMING: MR. ANTONIO FORTUNATO DE ALMEIDA, HEAD OF THE PORTUGUESE DELEGATION AT THE SECOND PHASE OF THE CSCE CONFERENCE IN GENEVA, AND MR. F. FALCAO MACHADO AND MR. J. ALMEIDA FERNANDES, EXPERTS. CHAIRMAN ASKED OTHERS TO INFORM HI (AND CONCURRENTLY ALL MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE) WHEN FURTHER NAMES WERE AVAILABLE. ITALIAN REP (STESTORI) SAID HE BELIEVED HIS EXPERT WOULD BE G. RAITANO AND NORWEGIAN EXPECTED REPRESENTATION FROM MFA, OSLO AND NORWEGIAN ECE DELEGATION, BUT THIS FAR HAD NO FURTHER CONFIRMATION.

5. BEGIN TEXT OF TELEGRAM CUT - AND - PAST PROVIDED BY CANADIAN REP:

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 NATO 01152 02 OF 02 032052Z
ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 IO-13 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-04 INR-07 L-03 ACDA-07
NSAE-00 PA-01 SS-15 PRS-01 SP-02 USIA-06 TRSE-00
SAJ-01 DODE-00 H-01 NSC-05 EB-08 COME-00 FRB-03 CU-02
OIC-02 BIB-01 /095 W

-----032116Z 009579 /73

R 021700Z MAR 77
FM USMISSION NATO
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 1972
INFO ALL NATO CAPITALS 6869
AMEMBASSY MOSCOW
AMEMBASSY PRAGUE
AMEMBASSY WARSAW
AMEMBASSY BERLIN
AMEMBASSY BUCHAREST
AMEMBASSY BUDAPEST
AMEMBASSY SOFIA
USMISSION GENEVA
USMISSION EC BRUSSELS
USMISSION BERLIN
AMEMBASSY BELGRADE
USMISSION OECD PARIS

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 2 OF 2 USNATO 1152

2. ON NEW PROPOSALS GENERALLY, WE DO NOT/NOT FAVOUR MAKING PROOSALS FOR SAKE OF DOING SO. OUR PRESENT THINKING IS THAT BLGRAD MTG SHOULD LOOK AT BASIC AIMS OF FINAL ACT AND DETERMINE TO WHAT EXTENT THESE HAVE BEEN OR ARE BEING ACHIEVED. WHERE THERE ARE DEFICIENCIES BLGRAD MTG SHOULD ADDRESS ITSELF TO WAYS IN WHICH IMPROVEMENTS CAN BE MADE. GRAB-BAG APPROACH TO PROPOSALS APPEARS TOO MUCH LIKE MAKE-WORK EXERCISE AND COULD MERELY GIVE IMPRESSION OF FORWARD MOVEMENT IN IMPLEMENTATION OF FINAL ACT WITHOUT FUNDAMENTAL IMPROVEMENTS BEING ACHIEVED.

3. TAKING ITS LEAD FROM DISCUSSIONS IN REINFORCED PAC OF FEB 7,
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NATO 01152 02 OF 02 032052Z

ECONADS MIGHT TRY TO IDENTIFY WHERE MAIN DEFICIENCIES IN IMPLEMENTATION LIE AND DEAL WITH THEM UNDER BROAD THEMES, RELATING ANY NEW PROPOSALS WHICH ARE FOUND TO BE NECESSARY TO THESE THEMES. OUR IMPRESSION IS THAT FREER FLOW OF ECONOMIC AND COMMERCIAL INFORMATION IS ONE OF MAJOR EAREAS WHERE IMPROVEMENT IS REQUIRED.

...2

IF OTHER DELS AGREE, ECONADS COULD TRY TO WORK OUT PACKAGE PROPOSAL CONTAINING WAYS THIS CAN BE ACHIEVED. PRIORITY SHOULD PERHAPS BE GIVEN TO ENSURING AVAILABILITY OF BASIC INFO SUCH AS DIRECTORIES (AS SUGGESTED BY US), LAWS AND REGS CONCERNING FOREIGN TRADE (INCLUDING THOSE AFFECTING ACTIVITIES OF BUSINESSMEN IN HOST COUNTRIES) AND INFO HELPFUL IN DETERMINING ECONOMIC AND COMMERCIAL TRENDS. SIMILAR APPROACH MIGHT BE CONSIDERED REGARDING FREER FLOW OF INFO ON SCEINCE AND TECHNOLOGY TO ENSURE RECIPROCITY IN WHAT CURRENTLY APPEARS TO BE ONE-WAY FLOW TO EAST.

4. MAJOR INTEREST SHOUDL BE TO IDENTIFY AREAS WHERE PROGRESS IS REQUIRED WITHOUT GETTING TOO WRAPPED UP IN INDIVIDUAL AND UNRELATED PROPOSALS WHICH DO LITTLE TO ENSURE THAT BGRAD COMES

TO GRIPS WITH BASIC PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED IN IMPEMENTING EXISTING
COMMITMENTS BUT WHICH SIMPLY DIVERT ATTENTION FROM FUNDAMENTAL
OBJECTIVES OF FINAL ACT. FACT THAT EASTERN EUROPEANS HAVE ALREADY
GIVEN EVIDENCE OF SOME CONCERN THAT WEST HAS NOT/NOT PUT FORWARD
ANY NEW PROPOSALS SUGGESTS THAT ONE OF THEIR AIMS AT BGRAD WILL
INDEED BE TO DRAW ATTENTION AWAY FROM FINAL ACT AND TO NEW
AREAS AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE. WE THINK IDEA OF DRAWING UP ANY NEW
PROPOSALS ON BASIS OF ANALYSIS OF DEFICIENCIES IN IMPLEMENTATION
TO DATE WILL SERVE PURPOSE OF MAINTAINING FINAL ACT AS FOCUS OF
ATTENTION AT BELGRADE WHILE AT SAME TIME MOVING WHOLE CSCE
PROCESS FORWARD. STRAUZ-HUPE

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 30-Aug-1999 12:00:00 am
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Sent Date: 02-Mar-1977 12:00:00 am
Decaption Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 22 May 2009
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977NATO01152
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: n/a
Expiration:
Film Number: n/a
Format: TEL
From: NATO
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t197703110/baaabcby.tel
Line Count: 177
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Message ID: 4e9701b0-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ACTION EUR
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 23-Nov-2004 12:00:00 am
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 2961608
Secure: OPEN
Status: <DBA CHANGED> MCM 20040805
Subject: SUBJECT ECONADS: CSCE BASKET II
TAGS: EGEN, CSCE, NATO
To: STATE INFO ALL NATO CAPITALS MULTIPLE
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/4e9701b0-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
22 May 2009
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009